

## Greek ῥώμη, ῥῶσις and Sanskrit *sāra-*

By GEORGI T. RIKOV, Sofia

The evidence of Sanskrit *sāra-* 'firmness, strength, power' for an aniṭ-root \**ser-* is uncertain, cf. Skt. *cāra-*, *jāna-*, *tārá-*. Then, in spite of Hamp's doubts (Glotta 64, 1986, 256), I would derive Greek ῥώμη 'bodily strength, strength, might, force' and ῥῶσις 'a strengthening; encouragement, confirmation' from \**srh<sub>3</sub>-meh<sub>2</sub>* and \**srh<sub>3</sub>-ti-* respectively.

In vol. 64 (1986), 256 of this journal Eric P. Hamp interprets Sanskrit *sāra-* 'firmness, strength, power' as a noun of the type of Greek τόμος and derives it, according to Brugmann's law, from an aniṭ-formation \**sóro-*.

Yet, as for the proposed underlying aniṭ-root \**ser-* of *sāra-*, I would juxtapose this problem with that of Skt. *cāra-* 'motion, course' (: *cīrṇa-*, *iṣ-* aorist *acarīṣam*, etc.), *jāna-* 'origin, birth place' (: *janiman* 'birth, origin', 2<sup>nd</sup> sg. impv. middle *janiṣva*, etc.), *tārá-* 'penetrating, piercing' which is a noun of the type of Gk. τόμος (: *tīrṇá-*, *tiráti*, *turáti*, Hitt. *tarḫzi*, etc.), etc.

If we are to retain Brugmann's law,<sup>1)</sup> there are two possibilities which can be taken into consideration in the case of *cāra-*, *jāna-*, *tārá-* and, of course, *sāra-*:

1. That *cāra-*, *jāna*, *tārá-* point to Indo-European aniṭ-roots \**k<sup>w</sup>el-*, \**ḡen-*, \**ter-* beside the seṭ-ones \**k<sup>w</sup>elh<sub>1</sub>-*, \**ḡenh<sub>1</sub>-*, \**terh<sub>2</sub>-* attested by the forms given above. Then, we would have *cāra-*<sup>2)</sup> < \**k<sup>w</sup>ólo-*, *jāna-* < \**ḡóno-*, *tārá-* < \**toró-* beside *cīrṇa-*, *janiman*, *tīrṇá-*, etc. just as Hamp's *sāra-* < \**sóro-* beside Greek ῥώμη 'bodily strength, strength, might, force' and ῥῶσις 'a strengthening; encouragement, confirmation'. I am not sure, however, that this is the best solution of our problem.

2. That *cāra-*, *jāna-*, *tārá-* are built on secondary aniṭ- roots. Due to the regular loss of the laryngeals after consonants (and consonantal *r*, *l*, *m*, *n*) when before vowels, forms like Gk. Cretan τέλομαι, Skt. *cáрати* < \**k<sup>w</sup>élh<sub>1</sub>e/o-*; Skt. *jánати* < \**ḡénh<sub>1</sub>e/o-*; Skt. *tarати* < \**térh<sub>2</sub>e/o-* could be interpreted as representatives of aniṭ-roots;

<sup>1)</sup> Yet see M. Mayrhofer, *Handbuch des Pāli* (Heidelberg 1951), 35–38; A. Thumb and R. Hauschild, *Handbuch des Sanskrit I. Teil* (Heidelberg 1958), 220–222.

<sup>2)</sup> With analogical *c-*, as Prof. E. P. Hamp mentioned in our discussion on the problem.

hence we have secondary aniṭ-forms such as Gk. Cyren. *τένται*, Skt. *s*-aorist *acārṣam*; the deverbative nouns *jánman* 'birth, origin, production', *jantú-* 'offspring, creature, being';<sup>3)</sup> Skt. *s*-aorist *atārṣma*, etc. If so, Skt. *cāra-*, *jāna-*, *tārā-* can be explained as derivatives from the secondary aniṭ-roots seen in *cáрати*, *jánати*, *tarati* along the lines of *mána-* 'opinion' (: *man-* 'think'), *vára-* 'choice' (: *vṛ-* 'choose'), etc.; similarly, Skt. *sāra-* can be formed to a thematic verb *\*sāra-* < *\*serh<sub>3</sub>e/o-*.

Then, the evidence of *sāra-* for an Indo-European aniṭ-root *\*ser-* is uncertain.

At this point the original initial of Greek *ῥώμη* and *ῥῶσις* is possibly *\*s-*; i. e., in spite of Hamp's doubts, I would derive Greek *ῥώμη* and *ῥῶσις* from *sḥ<sub>3</sub>-meh<sub>2</sub>* and *sḥ<sub>3</sub>-ti-* respectively.

---

<sup>3)</sup> On Greek *γέντρο* cf. A. Bammesberger, *Glotta* 60 (1982), 27–31.